ACADEMIC TRANSITION GRANT

PURPOSE
To provide research funding that will facilitate the establishment of a record of independent research by the investigator, promoting a successful academic career.

AWARD
Grant funding up to $25,000.

NATURE OF PROJECTS
This funding mechanism is intended for a pilot or seed grant projects that tests a new idea or helps support a new area or direction of research in interventional radiology. Applicants should propose research that advances the science of interventional radiology.

ELIGIBILITY
Applicant must be an active member of the Society of Interventional Radiology over the age of 40. The applicant must be full-time faculty with an MD, DO, or equivalent degree in educational institutions in the US and Canada. The application must also have completed three or more years of private or non-academic practice and show a commitment to applying those skills learned in private practice to research and academics. Only those applicants who have been in academia five years or less and do not have any other research funding are eligible to apply.

Applicant must be citizens of the United States or Canada or have permanent resident status therein. Permanent residents must submit documentation of this status. If an applicant is at an institution in the US or Canada and is on a visa, a letter from the department chair (for faculty applicants) or fellowship director (for trainee applicants) guaranteeing completion of the project will be required.

APPLICATION DEADLINE
Applicants are to submit their completed application via the online form found at: https://sir.secure-platform.com/a/organizations/main/home.

Applications are due by close of business day on December 14. The deadline remains whether or not the date falls on a weekend and/or holiday. Applications that are not completed or do not comply with the guidelines, will be withdrawn.

REVIEW PROCESS
Completed applications will be distributed to the members of the SIR Foundation Grant Review Study Section. A primary and secondary reviewer will be assigned based on their expertise in the particular area of the proposed investigation. A Study Section will be held at the SIR Annual Scientific Meeting. All applications will be discussed and funding recommendations will be made during this study section. Funding recommendations will be taken to the SIR Foundation Board and final decisions will be made in the weeks following the SIR Annual Scientific Meeting. Applicants will be notified in writing of the SIR Foundation’s final funding decision.

Funding decisions are based on the overall impact/priority score which reflect assessment of the likelihood that the project will facilitate the establishment of a record of independent research by the investigator, promoting a successful academic career. The review process and scoring guidelines are modeled on the NIH scoring system.
1. **Significance.** Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the interventional radiology? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this interventional radiology?

2. **Investigator.** Are the PI, collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? If Early Stage Investigators or New Investigators, or in the early stages of independent careers, do they have appropriate experience and training? If established, have they demonstrated an ongoing record of accomplishments that have advanced interventional radiology?

3. **Innovation.** Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense? Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?

4. **Approach.** Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented? If the project is in the early stages of development, will the strategy establish feasibility and will particularly risky aspects be managed? If the project involves clinical research, are the plans for 1) protection of human subjects from research risks, and 2) inclusion of minorities and members of both sexes/genders, as well as the inclusion of children, justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed?

5. **Environment.** Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? Will the project benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, subject populations, or collaborative arrangements?

In addition, the review committee will take the following factors into consideration

**Protection of human** subjects. For research that involves human subjects, the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risk to subjects, 2) adequacy of protection against risks, 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials

**Inclusion of Women, Minorities, and Children.** When the proposed project involves clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for inclusion of minorities and members of both genders, as well as the inclusion of children

**Vertebrate Animals.** The committee will evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals as part of the scientific assessment according to the following five points: 1) proposed use of the animals, and species, strains, ages, sex, and numbers to be used; 2) justifications for the use of animals and for the appropriateness of the species and numbers proposed; 3) adequacy of veterinary care; 4) procedures for limiting discomfort, distress, pain and injury to that which is unavoidable in the conduct of scientifically sound research including the use of analgesic, anesthetic, and tranquilizing drugs and/or comfortable restraining devices; and 5) methods of euthanasia and reason for selection
Biohazards. Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.

Resubmission. For Resubmissions, the committee will evaluate the application as now presented, taking into consideration the responses to comments from the previous scientific review group and changes made to the project.

Budget and Period of Support. Reviewers will consider whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.

Letters of support. Letters of support from industry and/or memorandums of understanding with collaborators will be taken into consideration.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE
Upon submission of a fully executed grant agreement, awarded funds will be transmitted to the institution for support of the grant recipient and the project. Funds will be distributed in three installments: 50% at the start of the project, 40% upon receipt and approval of the six-month progress report, and 10% upon receipt and approval of the final report in manuscript format.

Please provide the following information:
1) Grant Official or Grant Administrator’s name, phone number, and email address
2) Check made payable to:
3) Mailing address (where payment should be mailed)

APPLICATION PROCEDURES
The application must contain a detailed research plan, including a one-year budget for the planned research. All funds requested in the application must be fully justified. Insufficient justification will result in deferral or disapproval of the application.

The proposal should include a cover letter from the applicant indicating how the proposed research project will assist in their transition from private practice to academia, and they understand that they are expected to do the majority of the work in the actual conduct of the proposed studies. In addition, a letter from the department chair must be provided that indicates approval of the application, comments on the merit of the project, and explains the extent to which the department is supporting the applicant’s research in terms of funding and/or available facilities. It is important that the chair’s letter indicate commitment to provide protected research time and support the salary of the applicant during the research period.

Applicant must also provide a statement explaining their interest in returning to academia and detailing how the proposed project will assist with the transition from private practice to academia.

The application must be submitted electronically through the online application forms found at https://sir.secure-platform.com/a/organizations/main/home by December 14. Applications must be submitted in PDF format. Incomplete applications and those submitted after the deadline of December 14 will not be reviewed in the current cycle.
REPORTS

An Interim Progress Report (IPR) is required after the first six (6) months of the project. The interim progress report must be submitted electronically through the online forms found at https://sir.secure-platform.com/a/organizations/main/home. This report should be a one-page synopsis of the progress, unforeseen problems, and results to date.

Included with the report should be a cover letter that:

1. States how the Academic Transition Grant assisted in the applicant’s transition from private practice to academics and what impact the grant had on the recipient's future research/career goals;
2. Indicates the significance/possible clinical impact of the results;
3. States whether the results will be submitted for possible publication, and if so, to what journal;
4. Indicates whether results will be used to apply for additional funding from other sources, and if so, the funding agency and date of application (should be included).
5. When uploading your Interim Progress Report (IPR) to our online forms, you must save your Interim Progress Report using the naming convention below. The year should be the year that you were awarded.
   a) 2017_IPR_Pilot_Mary Johnson

A final written report must be submitted within sixty (60) days of the project's completion. The Final Progress Report (FPR) must be submitted electronically through the online forms found at https://sir.secure-platform.com/a/organizations/main/home

The Final Progress Report (FPR) should include the following, as applicable:

1. A Statement of the accomplishments/outcomes of this grant
2. The current and future impact (e.g. success stories, statistics, benefits to patients, staff, and/or community)
3. The use of this award to leverage other funding
4. An account of any unexpended funds and/or major modifications of the budget.
5. Include Signature of Principal Investigator/Program Director's name, Signature of Authorized Institutional Official, and Date.
6. When uploading your Final Progress Report (FPR) to our online forms, you must save your Final Progress Report using the naming convention below. The year should be the year that you were awarded.
   a) 2017_FPR_Pilot_Mary Johnson
PRESENTATIONS/PUBLICATIONS
It is suggested that recipients submit their work primarily to *JVIR* or to the SIR Annual Scientific Meeting. All posters, oral presentations, and publications must contain appropriate acknowledgement of SIR Foundation’s support.

NO-COST EXTENSION
An extension of the term of the grant may be requested for up to twelve (12) months beyond the original ending date of the grant. The approval of an extension does not include the award of additional funds. A maximum of two 1-year extensions may be requested. The request for a no-cost extension must be made in writing to the Grant Review Committee at the Foundation’s address before the expiration of the original grant period. The request must include the reason for the extension, the length of the extension (not to exceed twelve (12) months), and a brief project progress report, including to date findings, problems encountered, presentations/publications resulting from the work, and budget expenditures. The request must be co-signed by the department chair or other authorized institutional official.

Other requests for changes to the terms of an award should also be addressed to the Grant Review Committee with similar documentation and institutional approvals.

MODIFICATION OR TERMINATION OF SUPPORT
SIR Foundation reserves the right to modify or terminate the amount of any funds granted under the terms of Academic Transition Grant. Generally, such action would be based on the awardee’s receipt of support from sources other than SIR Foundation which might (1) limit the ability of the recipient to successfully complete the terms of the award or (2) obviate the recipient’s need for funding from SIR Foundation.

In the event that the awardee relocates to a different institution, a request in writing to relocate the grant to the new institution may be made to the Grant Review Committee at the Foundation’s address. SIR Foundation will continue project funding provided the awardee is guaranteed support, protected research time, and adequate equipment/facilities from the new institution (i.e., letter from department chair) as well as IRB approvals, if applicable. If the new institution cannot provide the necessary support or IRB approvals for the project, the original institution may appoint a new principal investigator, with SIR Foundation’s approval, to complete the project. If the project cannot be completed at the new or the original institution, then all unexpended funds must be returned to SIR Foundation.

AWARD EXPENSES
Budget expenses can be used for materials and supplies, equipment, service function charges (e.g., pathology costs, animal per diem charges, reasonable imaging machine time, etc.), salaries for research assistants/technicians working on the proposed project, and publication costs.

Travel expenses, faculty salaries, consulting expenses and institutional indirect costs, construction expenses, and secretarial or office expenses will not be funded.

If the project involves the use of human subjects, animals, radioisotopes, or biohazards, documentation of approval from the appropriate institutional review board(s) (IRB) must be provided before an award can be funded.
Any unused funds must be returned to SIR Foundation.

Grant recipients will not be eligible for concurrent support through other SIR Foundation research grants.

**GRANT APPLICATION FORMAT**

When uploading your grant application to our online forms, you must save your grant application using the naming convention below.

2017_APP_Pilot_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Ring_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Academic Transition_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Funding Source_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Resident_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Student_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Allied Scientist_Mary Johnson

If you have a resubmission you must save your grant application using the naming convention below.

2017_APP_Resubmission1_Pilot_Mary Johnson
2017_APP_Resubmission2_Pilot_Mary Johnson

All the items detailed below must be included in the application before it will be considered. The format should follow the guidelines used for NIH applications and an example is posted on the SIR Foundation website.

**I. Title Page:**
A. Title of research project;
B. Lay statement of the proposed research project and its relevance to interventional radiology;
C. Name, faculty position, and department of principal investigator, as well as other professional personnel collaborating in the research project;
D. Brief abstract (ten (10) to twenty (20) lines), with keywords underlined;
E. Beginning and termination dates of proposed expenditures;
F. Total funding requested; G. Signatures of principal investigator and department chair; H. Contact information (name, address, phone, fax, email) for the grants office at the principal investigator’s institution.

**II. Description of Research Plan:** The applicant must present his/her research logically and clearly and show that the proposed research is meaningful. (LIMIT—FIVE (5) PAGES FOR SECTIONS for A and B)

A. Specific Aims:
State concisely the goals of the proposed research and summarize the expected outcome(s), including the impact that the results of the proposed research will exert on the research field(s) involved. List succinctly the specific objectives of the research proposed, e.g., to test a stated hypothesis, create a novel design, solve a specific problem, challenge an existing paradigm or clinical practice, address a critical barrier to progress in the field, or develop new technology. (0.5-1 pages)

B. Research Strategy:
Organize the Research Strategy in the specified order and using the instructions provided below. Start each
Significance. Explain the importance of the problem or critical barrier to progress in interventional radiology. Explain how the proposed project will improve scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice in interventional radiology or other fields. Describe how the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive interventional radiology will be changed if the proposed aims are achieved. (0.5 pages)

Innovation. Explain how the application challenges and seeks to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms. Describe any novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation or interventions to be developed or used, and any advantage over existing methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions. Explain any refinements, improvements, or new applications of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions. (0.5 pages)

Approach. Describe the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses to be used to accomplish the specific aims of the project. Include how the data will be collected, analyzed, and interpreted. Discuss potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success anticipated to achieve the aims. If the project is in the early stages of development, describe any strategy to establish feasibility, and address the management of any high risk aspects of the proposed work. Point out any procedures, situations, or materials that may be hazardous to personnel and precautions to be exercised. (3-3.5 pages)

If an applicant has multiple Specific Aims, then the applicant may address Significance, Innovation and Approach for each Specific Aim individually, or may address Significance, Innovation and Approach for all of the Specific Aims collectively.

Include preliminary studies within the Research Strategy section, within one or more of the three sections listed above: Significance, Innovation, and Approach. Discuss the PI’s preliminary studies, data, and or experience pertinent to this application, preliminary data is an essential part of a research grant application and help to establish the likelihood of success of the proposed project. Early Stage Investigators should include preliminary data.

C. Human or Animal Subjects, Radioisotopes, and Biohazards: Provide documentation that the institution has approved all proposed human, animal, radioisotope, and biohazard use (e.g. IRB or IACUC approval);

D. Budget Proposal: List budget items in the following main categories and give details and justification of the items in each category;
   1. Consumable supplies including animal purchase costs;
   2. Equipment: Identify each item, show unit cost, and explain why it cannot be borrowed;
   3. Other expenses including animal maintenance costs (only those costs essential to the conduct or reporting of the research);
   4. Funds to support the salary of technicians, research assistants, students, or other support personnel working on the project may be requested, but must be well justified;
   5. Total budget.

E. Other Support: Describe all funding currently available to the applicant as well as any pending grant support, and describe the relationship these funds may have to the proposed research;
F. Literature Cited.

III. Supporting Materials:
A. Resources: Describe the facilities available for conduct of the proposed research including lab space, equipment, computers, technical support, etc.;
B. Brief biographical sketch of all investigators, specifically following the NIH format (Not to exceed four pages for each investigator). Example: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/2590/biosketchsample.pdf
C. A cover letter from the applicant that indicates how the proposed research project will assist in the applicant’s transition from private practice to academics;
D. A letter from the Department Chair that:
   1. Indicates approval of application;
   2. Comments on the merit of the project;
   3. Explains the extent to which the department is supporting the applicant’s research in terms of funding, protected research time, technical support, and available facilities. It is important that the chair’s letter indicate commitment to support the salary of the applicant during the research period.
E. Letter(s) of confirmation from company(s) providing materials needed to complete the proposed research.